

The following appendix summarises objections / adverse comments relating to No Waiting At Any Time restrictions and bus stop proposals (with clearway restrictions).

A total of 18 consultees responded, 18 of whom objected.

Objection 1	Officer Response
<p>Do not put double yellow lines on Leyland Road until the Sumpter Horse has been demolished and car park spaces have been made available for residents of Leyland Road.</p>	<p>There is a planning application for a development at the site of the old Sumpter Horse pub (reference 07/2020/01035/FUL) which shows plans for the provision of a new junction onto Leyland Road and a proposed area of parking for residents of Leyland Road. These proposals are developer lead with no established delivery timescales.</p> <p>The proposals presented by the County Council in this current consultation are not associated with the development but will support and complement the developments proposals whilst bringing their own improvements to the area, particularly to bus services. The proposals are being provided through grant funding from the Department for Transport as part of the Bus Service Improvement Plan and is subject to deadlines on the funding allocated, as such this project must progress before the proposed development's provision of parking (if such provision proceeds at all).</p>
Objection 2	Officer Response
<p>Do not put double yellow lines,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where will visitors, deliveries, and tradesman park? 2. How will I sell my house with no parking? 3. I'm 78, with walking difficulties – where will the bus stop be? 4. Wouldn't traffic lights be better? 	<p>The County Council are aware of the disruption that removal of parking can cause residents and therefore have ensured that parking provision is still available, even if this means it is not directly in front of properties. Whilst these proposed restrictions may affect parking outside some properties, there will still be a proportion of unrestricted parking available for residents' use. Parking on highway is not a right which can be attached to a property.</p>

The powers under which the proposed works would be provided contain no statutory right to compensation.

The 2 existing, southbound bus stops on Leyland Road will be removed and replaced by one stop (between the two existing stops). The new bus stop would be located outside Carrington Court (267 Leyland Road), approximately 90 metres south and 60 metres north of the two existing stops. Whilst there will be a slight increase in walking distance to the stop for some users, the new location will allow installation of a raised boarding point, bus stop clearway and bus shelter, providing improved, level access to the buses. It also removes 2 bus stops from directly outside residential properties to a single location where the flats are set back from the road (with a parking area between the highway and the flats) and minimises the impact of the stop on residents and local parking.

The proposed northbound bus stop is located between the two existing stops as well. The new stop will be located adjacent to the electric substation near to 294 Leyland Road, approximately 45 metres south and 125 metres north of the two existing stops. The bus stop clearway currently located in the layby, north of Bee Lane, will be removed making the layby available for parking. The relocation of the stop away from Nos 278/280 Leyland Road would move it away from directly outside residential properties. A raised kerb, boarding point, bus stop box and bus shelter would be installed at the new stop improving access for all bus users and, at the same time, minimising impact on local parking and properties.

Introducing traffic lights would not help to improve the movement of buses through this section of Leyland Rd and would lead to further traffic flow disruption along with added standing traffic.

Objection 3	Officer Response
<p>Concerns and issues are as listed below in no order of priority:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What happens when residents want to unload their car e.g, after a supermarket shop, or to unload a baby, pram etc.? 2. What are delivery drivers expected to do such as Amazon, DPD, White Goods etc? I know it states in the Highways Act that Royal Mail are exempt but what about the others? 3. How are Tradespeople expected to undertake work for residents e.g. Plumbers, Electricians when they need access to their vehicles for tools and materials? 4. what happens when friends and relatives come to visit, what are they supposed to do? 5. There is currently a 30mph speed limit in this area and a number of residents expressed concerns that this should be reduced, or traffic calming measures introduced. Are there any such measures being discussed for this proposal? 6. Will the introduction of double yellow lines on both sides of the street lead to a clearer passage and thus the ability to travel at even faster speeds than some do now? There have been a number of serious accidents in recent times, have these statistics been taken into account? 7. Properties on Sumpter Court have parking at the side of their properties which is covered in their rent, though this is not allocated parking. There are fears that other residents will start to use these parking places if this proposal is implemented due to these not being allocated. 8. Is there a possibility of a pedestrian crossing in this area to slow down vehicles as residents have expressed concerns that trying to cross over the road to catch the bus at busy commuting periods is almost impossible and most of the time, they are reliant on a driver's good will to let them cross, parking for delivery drivers, trades people 	<p>The reduction in on street parking must be balanced against the general rights and interests of the wider community, including the users of public transport. Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided.</p> <p>The proposals have been developed with consideration given to public transport accessibility, safety, the needs of other road users, residents, and current technical guidance. The proposals aim to help to improve the movement of buses through the area and address the pedestrian concerns whilst, at the same time, trying to minimise the impact on local resident parking. In this way, the proposed new locations of the bus stops are intended to provide better access to buses, reduce their impact on residential properties and create parking at the existing stop locations, thereby working to offset the impact of parking restrictions that are proposed in other locations. Whilst these restrictions may affect parking outside some properties, there will still be a proportion of unrestricted parking available in close proximity.</p> <p>With regards to a pedestrian crossing and introduction of other traffic calming measures, this may be considered in future. The suggestions will be forwarded to the traffic safety team for further investigation and as per the County Council's processes a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review.</p> <p>The rented parking places are a matter for the tenants and not something which can be altered by these proposals.</p>

Objection 4	Officer Response
<p>I do not believe that the impact on residents is being taken into account at all. Only a handful of properties in the affected area have off-street parking. Of those that do, few have sufficient parking for visitors, deliveries etc. Most properties in the area have no parking at all.</p> <p>If the proposals are implemented, how will residents unload their cars, receive deliveries, have tradespeople working in their homes, welcome visitors etc? The proposals simply haven't been thought through.</p> <p>I feel that the current scheme is based on the convenience of drivers and speeding up traffic flows – surely it defies logic to aim to speed up traffic in a densely populated residential area?</p>	<p>The reduction in on street parking must be balanced against the general rights and interests of the wider community, including the users of public transport. The proposals have been properly considered.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided. Despite the removal of some on street parking there will still be on street parking available for residents use, though it may not be directly adjacent to their properties.</p> <p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p>
Objection 5	Officer Response
<p>1. Removing both well-used bus stops will be a great inconvenience to bus users in the area who are unable to easily make their way to bus stops further away. It may also lead to more local residents opting to drive to either Preston or Leyland, rather than use public transport, as the journey by bus will now involve a walk, potentially in inclement weather. This will ultimately increase the number of cars using Leyland Road.</p> <p>2. Imposing a prohibition of waiting in this location will be a great inconvenience to residents who don't have driveways. There is currently nowhere else for them to park, especially if they're carrying heavy bags, have young children in pushchairs etc. It is also unclear how residents will</p>	<p>This scheme does not propose to completely remove bus stop provision in the area, instead it will condense the existing four bus stops into two more appropriately located stops, away from residential property frontages, and will improve provision with raised boarding areas, bus stop clearways and shelters. Though it is expected that some residents may need to walk a little further than they do currently to access a bus stop the distances are minimal.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided. Despite the removal of some on street parking there will still be on street parking available for residents use, though it may not be directly adjacent to their properties.</p>

<p>receive deliveries from postal services, supermarkets, etc. Cars parking on Leyland Road in this section actually help with the traffic problems as they slow traffic down. The main issue on Leyland Road is the volume of traffic and the speed of traffic. Making bus access less convenient will increase traffic on the road and preventing cars from parking will increase the speed of passing cars. Alternative proposals to address the above would be gratefully received as there is no doubt that traffic is an issue here, but the current proposals will likely worsen the issue.</p>	<p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures Under the County Council's processes a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p>
<p>Objection 6</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>No double yellow lines, please wait until the Sumpter Horse public house has been demolished to make way for the planned car parking spaces. Without this, we will have nowhere near our own house to park our car. 95% of the cars parked on this road are residents who have nowhere else to park.</p> <p>The accidents are due to drunk and/or speeding cars. Parked cars actually slow down the traffic. Also, many houses down here do not have driveways or access to the rear of the house so you will be preventing people taking goods and shopping to their own house. Please reconsider. Perhaps parking permits if you have to reduce parking. A speed camera would be a better option to reduce accidents.</p>	<p>There is a planning application for a development at the site of the old Sumpter Horse pub (reference 07/2020/01035/FUL) which indicates provision for Leyland Rd resident parking however these proposals are developer lead with no established delivery timescales.</p> <p>The County Council's proposals in this current consultation are not associated with the development however will support and complement the developments proposals whilst bringing their own improvements to the area, particularly to bus services. The proposals are being provided through grant funding from the Department for Transport as part of the Bus Service Improvement Plan and is subject to deadlines on the funding allocated, as such this project must progress before the proposed development's provision of parking (if such provision proceeds at all).</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided. Despite the removal of some on street parking there will still be on street parking available for residents use, though it may not be directly adjacent to their properties.</p>

	<p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed because of these proposed measures and the suggestion of a speed camera. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p>
<p>Objection 7</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>Where we are going to park our car as all the residents live in terraced houses with no parking except outside the houses on the road. I know it was said previously that there would be parking bays across the road, is this still the case? and what happens in the meantime if the yellow lines are instigated before parking is made available.</p>	<p>Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided. Despite the removal of some on street parking there will still be on street parking available for residents use, though it may not be directly adjacent to their properties. The reduction in on street parking has to be balanced against the general rights and interests of the wider community, including the users of public transport.</p> <p>There is a planning application for a development at the site of the old Sumpter Horse pub (reference 07/2020/01035/FUL) which indicates provision for Leyland Rd resident parking however these proposals are developer lead with no established delivery timescales and therefore the County Council's proposals are continuing ahead of this.</p>
<p>Objection 8</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>object to the proposals of double yellow lines, I am currently able to park on the road outside my house. Albeit my car has been damaged regularly by cars speeding down this section of the road. I do not believe it will slow the traffic along this stretch of road, and also means there are a number of residents who will not be able to park near their properties. This will only cause</p>	<p>The proposals aim to improve the movement of buses through the area whilst, at the same time, trying to minimise the impact on local resident parking, the changes are not being implemented to slow traffic speeds.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided. Despite the removal of</p>

<p>more cars to be parked further along the road.</p> <p>Has any consideration been given to those individuals who live here? It is our home. Perhaps traffic lights and a pedestrian crossing would be a better option? My daughter struggles to cross the road for buses because it is always so busy.</p> <p>Where are we expected to park? Will provision be made for that?</p>	<p>some on street parking there will still be on street parking available for residents use, though it may not be directly adjacent to their properties. The reduction in on street parking must be balanced against the general rights and interests of the wider community, including the users of public transport.</p> <p>With regards to a pedestrian crossing, this may be considered in future. However, the suggestions will be forwarded to the traffic safety team for further investigation and as per the County Council's processes a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review.</p>
<p>Objection 9</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>1) Where are we supposed to park our cars. There is nowhere. We used to be able to park at the Sumpter Horse car park but that is no longer available.</p> <p>2) What if we need work doing on our houses or in our gardens. Where do the tradesmen/women park. When I catch my bus in the morning at 7.00 am for work (the 111 into Preston) I cannot get across the road to use my nearest bus stop (the Sumpter horse for buses heading into Preston). Instead, I have to turn left when I exit my property, walk down to the Cawsey roundabout and try and get across the road using the little island in the middle of the road. I then use the Bee Lane bus stop.</p> <p>3) What happens if we need to sell our homes. How are we supposed to do this with nowhere to park.</p> <p>4) It has been said before that having car's parked on both sides has a traffic calming effect which is needed due to the tight bend where the old Sumpter Horse pub stands. If your proposal goes through the vehicles will speed up hence more</p>	<p>The County Council are aware of the disruption that removal of parking can cause residents and therefore have ensured that parking provision is still available even if this means it is not directly in front of properties. Whilst these proposed restrictions may affect parking outside some properties, there will still be a proportion of unrestricted parking available for residents' use in close proximity. Parking on highway is not a right which can be attached to a property.</p> <p>The powers under which the proposed works would be provided contain no statutory right to compensation.</p> <p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p> <p>With regards to a pedestrian crossing and introduction of other traffic calming measures, this may be considered in future The suggestions will be forwarded to the traffic safety team for further</p>

<p>accidents.</p> <p>5) There have been two accidents that I know of where vehicles have hit one of the parked cars along my stretch of houses, however these accidents were both due to drink drivers and not the cars parked.</p> <p>6) I believe offers of compensation should also be given</p> <p>7) There should also be another crossing along this stretch as the traffic would be faster</p>	<p>investigation.</p>
<p>Objection 10</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>I note that the double yellow lines run from first house to 279, nobody parks here because we all have off road parking, it would make more sense if the yellow lines were on the opposite side of the road has this would make it safer for cars pulling out from our driveway and also from castle fold.at present there are always cars parked outside 262 264 etc making it dangerous when turning right.</p>	<p>The proposals aim to improve the movement of buses through the area whilst, at the same time, trying to minimise the impact on local resident parking. In this way, the proposed new locations of the bus are intended to provide better access to buses, reduce their impact on residential properties and create parking at the existing stop locations, thereby working to offset the impact of parking restrictions that are proposed in other locations.</p> <p>Whilst these restrictions may affect parking outside some properties, there will still be a proportion of unrestricted parking available in close proximity. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p>
<p>Objection 11</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>Parking - currently there are issues with parking and having less areas to park will just mean there will be parking wars. It would at least made sense to do this when the new car park on the sumpter horse is ready.</p> <p>Speeding - that is our main issue. We have had 4 major</p>	<p>There is a planning application for a development at the site of the old Sumpter Horse pub (reference 07/2020/01035/FUL) which indicates provision for Leyland Rd resident parking however these proposals are developer lead with no established delivery timescales.</p> <p>The County Council's proposals in this current consultation are not</p>

accidents recently and 2 of those flipped the car over as they were speeding. Removing the yellow lines will give cars a clear run to speed. This is already an issue now. Between the hours of 9.30am and 2pm when people are at work the road is clear and you can see people speeding all the time.

What needs to be done, which is a view of many residents around here, is to have a 20 mile an hour limit, with road bumps to calm the speeding down. Also, could put a speeding camera near the new bus stops.

associated with the development however will support and compliment the developments proposals whilst bringing their own improvements to the area, particularly to bus services. The proposals are being provided through grant funding from the Department for Transport as part of the Bus Service Improvement Plan and is subject to deadlines on the funding allocated, as such this project must progress before the proposed developments proposals are instated.

Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided. Despite the removal of some on street parking there will still be on street parking available for residents use, though it may not be directly adjacent to their properties.

We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under the County Council's processes a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.

With regards to a pedestrian crossing and introduction of other traffic calming measures, this may be considered in future. However, the suggestions will be forwarded to the traffic safety team for further investigation.

Objection 12	Officer Response
<p>We feel that having a clear road without any parked vehicles would in fact increase the speed of the traffic. Having parked cars on both sides of the road is significantly slowing the speed of traffic on this stretch of road.</p> <p>If you are to put double yellow lines on this stretch of road then you need to seriously consider putting in traffic calming measures, eg, reduce the speed to 20 and install a speed camera.</p>	<p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p> <p>With regards to a pedestrian crossing and introduction of other traffic calming measures, this may be considered in future. The suggestions will be forwarded to the traffic safety team for further investigation.</p>
Objection 13	Officer Response
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The 'improved flow' will see the speed of the traffic increase as there are no anti speed measures currently in place on this stretch of road. 2. On a personal note this will affect house prices due to parking restrictions near houses. 3. There are numerous houses along this stretch, for example the terraced houses, that have absolutely no facility for parking. This has not been taken into account. 4. The houses above that cannot park outside their house will need to find somewhere else to park, this will push traffic onto other busy roads for example the Cawsey or the private carparks along the road. This will potentially lead to disagreements between neighbours. 5. There are several houses that do not currently have space for parking a car but could potentially convert their front garden to allow parking. This will affect the environment by reducing trees and planting which in the current climate is a negative for the environment. It could possibly increase flooding, due to more concrete/tarmac and less grass/soil for soak away. 	<p>The County Council are aware of the disruption that removal of parking can cause residents and therefore ensure that parking provision is still available even if this means it is not directly in front of properties. Whilst these proposed restrictions may affect parking outside some properties, there will still be a proportion of unrestricted parking available for residents' use in close proximity. Parking on highway is not a right which can be attached to a property.</p> <p>The powers under which the proposed works would be provided contain no statutory right to compensation.</p> <p>The proposals aim to help to improve the movement of buses through the area and address the pedestrian concerns whilst, at the same time, trying to minimise the impact on local resident parking.</p> <p>In this way, the proposed new locations of the bus are intended to provide better access to buses, reduce their impact on residential properties and open up parking at the existing stop locations, thereby working to offset the impact of parking restrictions that are proposed in other locations on this stretch of Leyland Road</p> <p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of</p>

<p>6. Parking on drives, if you have one, is already very difficult especially during peak hours, the 'improved flow' will make this more difficult as there will be less breaks in the traffic. This would not improve resident's safety.</p> <p>7. Crossing the road is also currently difficult during peak hours and again the 'improved flow' will only make this worse. This would not improve resident's safety.</p> <p>8. Finally, this is a B Road with lots of residential houses, and again I don't think LCC has taken this into account. If safety was their primary concern, then traffic calming measures and encouraging use of the bypass would be their priority</p>	<p>speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p> <p>With regards to a pedestrian crossing and introduction of other traffic calming measures, this may be considered in future but is outside the remit of this scheme. However, the suggestions will be forwarded to the traffic safety team for further.</p>
<p>Objection 14</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>I would like to object to the yellow lines, there would be nowhere for me to park my vehicle which I currently do outside my home.</p>	<p>The reduction in on street parking must be balanced against the general rights and interests of the wider community, including the users of public transport. The proposals have been properly considered.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided. Despite the removal of some on street parking there will still be on street parking available for residents' use, though it may not be directly adjacent to their properties.</p>
<p>Objection 15</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>The parked cars - outside the owners homes reduced the speed of traffic along the road and create a natural road calming. Perhaps you could consider the area as a RESIDENTIAL area and introduce road calming, 20 mile an hour traffic, speed bumps etc as you have along Cop lane, Kingsfold lane and other such roads used as bypasses. To state clearly, we OBJECT to the proposed traffic changes.</p>	<p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p> <p>With regards to a pedestrian crossing and introduction of other traffic calming measures, this may be considered in future but is outside the</p>

	<p>remit of this scheme. However, the suggestions will be forwarded to the traffic safety team for further investigation.</p>
<p>Objection 16</p>	<p>Officer Response</p>
<p>1) these plans are going to cause more harm than good. It will encourage dangerous driving, speeding and carelessness that already occurs down our road.</p> <p>2) The Council put in place the bypass to alleviate the traffic flow down Leyland Rd and Liverpool Rd but as there have been no enforcement to use it, drivers disregard that option and still use the cut through of Leyland Rd. We encourage you that if you wish to help with the flow of traffic down our road than please enforce the work you have already put in.</p> <p>3) By putting in these double yellows you will be affecting our house prices. Is this something that the council will compensate us for?</p> <p>4) We will be left with no options for parking. I have a 3-year-old daughter whom I do not want walking too far on a busy main road and I have a disabled fiancé who CANNOT walk far without causing harm to herself. Where do you expect us to go? Parking across the road is not an option due to how busy the road gets and how fast the cars fly down the road. It is incredibly unsafe.</p> <p>We Fully understand the problems down our road as we have had our car damaged twice down this stretch of road and our neighbours have had 3 of their cars written off due to negligent/drunk drivers. We understand something needs to be done but we cannot agree with this as an option.</p>	<p>The reduction in on street parking has to be balanced against the general rights and interests of the wider community, including the users of public transport.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided.</p> <p>The powers under which the proposed works would be provided contain no statutory right to compensation.</p> <p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under, the County Council's processes a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p>

Objection 17	Officer Response
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. objection to the above proposals of putting double yellow lines, measures such as a 20 mile an hour zone enforced with speeding cameras could help and encourage drivers to use the bypasses that have been built. 2. also safer measures to cross the road such as a pedestrian crossing would be MASSIVELY beneficial. <p>also feel cheated with the lack of clarity and access to any relevant information on a Stone Age website with appalling search facilities to anyone not familiar with its intricacies.</p>	<p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p> <p>With regards to a pedestrian crossing and introduction of other traffic calming measures, this may be considered in future The suggestions will be forwarded to the traffic safety team for further investigation.</p>
Objection 18	Officer Response
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where do you propose the residents park their cars? 2. Are you providing any compensation for the fact that the residents will struggle to sell. 3. Large trucks and all their pollution doesn't help the many issues this road has. 4. Safety for pedestrians - pavements are very narrow, on dustbin days pedestrians have to walk on the road. 5. Sheer volume of traffic due to everyone avoiding the by-pass that leads nowhere. 6. Building more houses on the Sumpter site will massively impact on Leyland road. 7. Traffic pollution caused by stationary traffic/ slow moving every morning and evening are the points you need to be focussing on not moving bus stops. 	<p>The reduction in on street parking has to be balanced against the general rights and interests of the wider community, including the users of public transport. Whilst it is accepted that the proposals will remove some on street parking and that residents like to park outside of their property, there is no legal requirement for this to be provided.</p> <p>The powers under which the proposed works would be provided contain no statutory right to compensation.</p> <p>We note and appreciate the concerns around a potential increase of speed as a result of these proposed measures. Under the County Council's processes, a review of any newly installed scheme is subject to a safety review and the results of this, and speed concerns will be passed to the road safety team for further consideration.</p> <p>The proposals have been developed with consideration given to public transport accessibility, safety, the needs of other road users, residents, and current technical guidance. The proposals aim to help to improve the movement of buses along Leyland Rd to allow free movement of traffic reducing the amount of non-stationary vehicles.</p>